

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |

09/688,668 10/16/00 LAMING | R DYOUP0203US

| MMC2/0814 | EXAMINER |

DON W. BULSON, ESQ. | JACKSON, C

RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, P.L.L. 19TH FLOOR

ART UNIT

2881

1621 EUCLID AVE. CLEVELAND OH 44115

DATE MAILED:

08/14/01

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
			09/688,668	LAMING ET AL.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ps.		Cornelius H. Jackson	2024	
	Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any Status				
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 October 2000				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	3) 🗌				
	Disposition of Claims				
	4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.				
		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
1	Application Papers				
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
		10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
		Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CER 1.95(a)			
	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner				
		if approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
	12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
P	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
	14) <u>□</u> Ack	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Att	achment(s)	e de la composito pi	nonty under 35 0.5.0. 99 120 and	d/or 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/688,668

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to the method of fabricating an optical fiber, classified in class 250, subclass 519.1.
 - II. Claims 12-20 and 26, drawn to a laser, classified in class 372, subclass 6.
 - III. Claims 21-25, drawn to optical phase conjugator, classified in class 372, subclass 29.016.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II, I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as doping the fiber.
- 3. Inventions II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a light amplifier and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show

Application/Control Number: 09/688,668

Art Unit: 2881

them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cornelius H. Jackson whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 09/688,668

Art Unit: 2881

Page 4

(703)306-5981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 4:00, Monday -

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa M. Arroyo can be reached on (703)308-4782. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

chi

August 13, 2001

TERESA M. ARROYO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800